A Rare Complication of Tinea Capitis: Urticarial Id Reaction
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Abstract

Tinea capitis that usually affects prepubertal age group of children is a dermatophyte causes superficial fungal infection of hair follicle and follicle surroundings. Id reaction is a rare condition and considered to be a delayed hypersensitivity reaction opposed to dermatophyte antigens. It is usually seen as papular and vesicular morphologies. Urticarial id reaction is extremely rare. In here, we have reported two cases of urticarial id reaction caused by tinea capitis profunda and we pointed out that tinea capitis may be an etiological factor of urticarial reactions. We have presented this case because the clinical entity is extremely rare.
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Introduction

Tinea capitis (TC) is a dermatophyte-induced superficial fungal infection of the hair follicle and follicular surrounding. Clinical picture: three clinical forms have been described, including tinea capitis superficialis (TS), tinea capitis profunda (TP) and tinea capitis favosa (TF) (1). The etiologic agents of TC are dermatophytes which belong to the Trichophyton and Microsporum family. TP was first discovered by Celsus in the year 30 BC, and was defined as an acute purulent inflammatory condition of the hairy scalp, involving a distinct inflammatory process when compared to the other forms of TC (2). Clinically, fever, lymphadenopathy and leukocytosis may be observed in cases with TP, which is characterized by inflammatory, sensitive, multiple alopecic nodular and pustular lesions (3-5).

Case Reports

Case 1

A five-year-old boy presented with multiple alopecic nodular and pustular lesions with itchy and painful scalp lesions
for about 1.5 months (Figure 1). In the last two weeks, localized urticarial papules and plaques on the torso and limbs were added to the clinical picture (Figure 2). No particular abnormalities were observed on physical examination of the patient apart from the moderate high fever (axillary 38.2°C). Neutrophilic leukocytosis (leukocyte 15,800/μL, neutrophil 13,700/μL) was detected in the laboratory analysis. Routine biochemistry, urogram, thyroid function tests and vaginal parasitology tests were within normal limits. Fungal hyphae structures were detected on direct microscopic examination after treatment with KOH on material obtained from pustular lesion. Patients who were diagnosed with TP using current clinical and laboratory findings were given 125 mg/day terbinafine (orally) for six weeks, 10 mg/day prednisolone (orally) for seven days, 6 mg/kg/day cotrimoxazole suspension for two weeks, 20 mg/kg/day ibuprofen suspension and local antiseptic treatment for four weeks. During the first week of treatment, the urticarial papules and plaques of the patient were found to have completely regressed, while fungal lesions on the scalp were observed to have almost recovered by the tenth week of treatment.

Case 2

An 11-year-old boy was admitted with localized sporadic pustules, erythematous, squamous plaque-like skin lesions in the left temporal region, approximately 4 x 6 cm in diameter (Figure 3). The patient’s complaints had been present for approximately one month and widespread urticarial papules and plaques had developed throughout the body over the

Figure 1. Alopecic nodular and pustular lesions on hairy scalp.

Figure 2. A large number of urticarial papules and plaques of different diameters on the anterior torso.

Figure 3. Plaque-like lesion in the temporal area with erythematous-squamous sporadic pustules.
last three days (Figure 4). No abnormal features were observed in the patient’s systemic physical examination. Laboratory analysis did not reveal any additional findings other than mild neutrophilic leukocytosis. Fungal elements were detected in a direct microscopic examination after the treatment of the squamous material taken from the active erythematous margins of the temporal lesion with KOH. In our present case, which was evaluated as TP, the treatment protocol indicated in our first case was initiated at an appropriate dose. On the third day of treatment, complete recovery was observed in the urticarial lesions. On the other hand, the clinical picture of TP showed near complete improvement by the end of the fourth week.

**Discussion**

Dermatophytic infection of the hairy scalp is usually observed in preadolescent children. Patients present with severe inflammatory alopecic nodular lesions and malodorous discharge, often accompanied by pustular lesions, although the clinical course differs. Cicatricial alopecia is often inevitable when the clinical condition remains untreated or when there is a delay in treatment (6). Our two cases were both consistent with the age group and clinical appearance as described in literature studies. In cases with TP, also known as Kerion Celsi, regional lymphadenopathy, fever and various interface dermatitis (Id) reactions can be observed. The prevalence of Id reactions in dermatophyte-derived superficial fungal infections is approximately 5% (7). An Id reaction is considered to be a delayed type hypersensitivity reaction against dermatophyte antigens. In the etiopathogenesis, the fungal antigens have been deemed responsible by patient antibodies for opsonization and cytokines secreted by sensitized T-helper cells (8). Id reactions may present as a broad spectrum of dermatological lesions. Some criteria have been defined for the identification of the reaction. These criteria include, the mycologically proven fungal infection focus, the fact that the lesion defined as an Id reaction is not flanked by the fungal infection focus, the absence of a fungal agent in the lesion considered to be an Id reaction, and the spontaneous regression of the Id reaction when the fungal infection is treated (9). In both of our cases, fungal hyphae structures were detected on direct microscopic examination (native preparation method) and the urticarial plaques were found to have rapidly regressed after treatment. The classic Id reactions observed in TC are papular and vesicular lesions that develop on the face and the torso. Id reactions can rarely be seen in the morphology of urticaria, follicules, papules, erythema multiforme, erythema annulare centrifugum, and erythema nodosum (10). Atzori et al. presented a 37-year-old male patient with erythema multiforme-like Id reaction that developed on the distal portion of the extremity due to tinea infection on the nose (11). Castriota et al. reported an erythema nodosum-like Id reaction in the lower extremity of a nine-year-old girl diagnosed with TP and stated that the clinical findings were relieved by antifungal therapy (12). Zaraa et al. also presented a 7-year-old male patient with erythema nodosum associated with TP (13). The majority of lesions identified in literature studies as Id reactions have the morphology of papules, vesicles, erythema nodosum and erythema multiforme; however, unlike the classic Id reaction patterns, urticarial lesions were remarkable in our patients.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, it is not always possible to identify the agent that causes the urticarial lesions. Drug allergic reactions can clinically be difficult to differentiate from urticarial Id reaction. Patients who present with urticarial lesions and whose etiology is not clear should be considered for a clinical picture of Id reaction and patients should be carefully examined for superficial fungal infections.
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